

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/690,438	10/21/2003	Minh Tran	A-1673con	A-1673con 3709		
. 7590 07/07/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER		
Donald E. Stout			DAVIS, DANIEL J			
Stout, Uxa, Buy	yan & Mullins, LLP					
Suite 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
4 Venture			3731			
Irvine, CA 92	618		DATE MAILED: 07/07/2009	DATE MAILED: 07/07/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	T-2					
	Application	No.	Applicant(s)	7		
	10/690,438		TRAN, MINH			
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	 		
	D. Jacob Da		3731			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the c	over sheet with the co	orrespondence addi	ress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, y within the statutor will apply and will e e, cause the applica	however, may a reply be tim ry minimum of thirty (30) days xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from to tion to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.		
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	wn from cons					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and all accomposed are all accomposed and accomposed are all accomposed and accomposed are all accomposed are all accomposed as a second accomposed as a second are all accomposed as a second accomposed as	epted or b) drawing(s) be tion is required	held in abeyance. See if the drawing(s) is obj	937 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFF	• •		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been ts have been brity documen u (PCT Rule	received. received in Application ts have been receive 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National S	stage		
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	_) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da) Notice of Informal P) Other:		152)		

Election/Restrictions

Page 2

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-18, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 606, subclass 71.
- II. Claims 19-20, drawn to a method of use, classified in class 606, subclass 232.

 The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Group I and Group II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus may be used to perform a materially different method. For example, the device as claimed may be used as an artificial ligament wherein the suture material functions as a ligament and the anchor secures it in position.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

<u>SPECIES</u>	<u>FIGURES</u>		
A	1		
В	. 7		
C	8		

Application/Control Number: 10/690,438

Art Unit: 3731

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Donald E. Stout on June 30, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Art Unit: 3731

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. Jacob Davis whose telephone number is (571) 272-4693. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan T. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DJD

GLENN K. DAWSON-PRIMARY EXAMINER